

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O., Ber 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ugbpo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,934	02/27/2002	Rodney L. Porter	210105US1	4659
7590 12/18/2003			EXAMINER	
Rodney B Carroll			ARNOLD JR, JAMES	
Conley Roe P C 5700 Granite Parkway Suite 330			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Plano, TX 75024			1764	

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14							
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/083,934	PORTER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James Arnold, Jr.	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any sarred patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebruary 2002.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)[<	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>80-86</u> is/are objected to.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.							
37 CFR 1.78.							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 							
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Par	tent Application (PTO-152)				
-, <u>- </u>	auon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) L Other: .					

Application/Control Number: 10/083,934

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-79 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-75 of copending Application No. 09/992,445. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims disclose a process for producing a dilute ethylene stream and a dilute propylene stream from a cracked gas stream using essentially the same steps.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to separate a C_3^+ stream in a depropanizer zone to produce a C_3 stream and a C_4^+ stream rather than a C_3^- stream and a C_4^+ stream because both the C_3^- stream and the C_3 stream are both capable of producing propylene when reacted in a MAPD reactor zone.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/083,934

Art Unit: 1764

Claims 80-86 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose a process for producing a dilute ethylene and propylene stream whereby the dilute propylene stream is passed to a dilute propylene derivative unit and the dilute propylene unit produces cumene, acrylic acid, or propylene oxide.

Response to Arguments

The applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are deemed persuasive. New grounds of rejection, however, are set forth in this office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Arnold, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-305-5308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-6:00 PM; Fridays from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Ja .

December 14, 2003

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner